

Fourier Analysis 03-16

Review

Thm 2 (Weyl's Criterion). Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset [0, 1)$.

Then (x_n) is equidistributed in $[0, 1)$ if and only if

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i k x_n} = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Thm 3. Let $0 < d < 1$. Then

$$f_d(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-nd} e^{i 2^n x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

is cts but nowhere differentiable.

Prop 4. For any $g \in \mathcal{R}[-\pi, \pi]$, if g is diff at x_0 ,

then

$$\Delta_N(g)'(x_0) = O(\log N),$$

where $\Delta_N(g) = 2\sigma_{2N}(g) - \sigma_N(g)$.

As we proved in last class, Prop 4 \Rightarrow Thm 3.

Lemma 5: Let $F_N(x) = \sum_{|n| \leq N} \left(1 - \frac{|n|}{N}\right) e^{inx}$

$$= \frac{\sin^2 \frac{Nx}{2}}{N \sin^2 \frac{x}{2}}.$$

Then \exists a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$|F'_N(x)| \leq AN^2, \quad |F'_N(x)| \leq \frac{A}{x^2}$$

for any $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$

Proof of Prop 4.

Since $\Delta_N(g) = 2\sigma_{2N}(g) - \sigma_N(g)$,

it suffices to show that

$$\sigma_N(g)'(x_0) = O(\log N).$$

Recall that

$$\sigma_N(g)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N(x-t) \cdot g(t) dt$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_N(g)'(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(x-t) g(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(t) g(x-t) dt\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_N(g)'(x_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(t) g(x_0-t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(t) (g(x_0-t) - g(x_0)) dt \\ &\quad (\text{because } \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_N'(t) dt = F_N(t) \Big|_{-\pi}^{\pi} \\ &\quad = 0)\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left| \sigma_N(g)'(x_0) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_N'(t)| \cdot |g(x_0-t) - g(x_0)| dt$$

Since g is diff at x_0 , $g \in \mathcal{R}[-\pi, \pi]$, we see that

$$\left| \frac{g(x_0-t) - g(x_0)}{t} \right| \leq \text{const on } t \in [-\pi, \pi]$$

We obtain that

$$|\sigma_N(g)'(x_0)| \leq C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_N'(t)| |t| dt$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |F_N'(t)| |t| dt &= \int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{N}} + \int_{\frac{1}{N} < |t| \leq \pi} |F_N'(t)| |t| dt \\ &= (I) + (II) \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$(I) = \int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{N}} |F_N'(t)| |t| dt$$

$$\leq \int_{|t| \leq \frac{1}{N}} AN^2 \cdot \frac{1}{N} dt = 2A.$$

$$(II) = \int_{\frac{1}{N} < |t| \leq \pi} |F_N'(t)| \cdot |t| dt$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{N} < |t| \leq \pi} \frac{A}{t^2} \cdot |t| dt = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{N}}^{\pi} \frac{A}{t} dt \\ &= 2A \log t \Big|_{\frac{1}{N}}^{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2A (\log \pi + \log N)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(I)} + \text{(II)} &\leq 2A (1 + \log \pi + \log N) \\ &= O(\log N). \end{aligned}$$

Thm 3': Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} \cos 2^n x, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n\alpha} \sin 2^n x$$

are cts but nowhere differentiable.

Idea: By slightly modifying the proof of Prop 4,

we have for h with $|h| < \frac{c}{N}$,

$$\sigma_N(g)'(x_0+h) = O(\log N),$$

(where we assume g is diff at x_0).

Let us prove that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nd} \cos 2^n x$ is nowhere diff.

Suppose on the contrary that $F(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-nd} \cos 2^n x$ is diff at x_0 .

Then for $N = 2^m$,

$$\Delta_{2N}(F)(x) - \Delta_N(F)(x) = 2^{-(m+1)d} \cos(2^{m+1} x).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{2N}(F)'(x_0+h) - \Delta_N(F)'(x_0+h) \\ = -2^{(m+1)(1-d)} \sin(2^{m+1}(x_0+h)) \end{aligned}$$

We want to take a suitable $|h| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{N}$ such that

$$2^{m+1}(x_0+h) = 2k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

Write $\frac{2^m x_0}{2\pi} = L + t$ where $L \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t \in [0, 1)$

Then letting $h_m = \frac{1}{2^{m+1}} \left[-2\pi t + \frac{\pi}{2} \right]$

Then $2^{m+1}(x_0+h_m) = 2\pi L + \frac{\pi}{2}$

We see that $|\rho_m| \leq \frac{\text{const}}{N}$

Hence $\sigma_N'(F)(x_0 + \rho_m) = O(\log N)$.

and

$$\Delta_{2N}(F)'(x_0 + h_m) - \Delta_N(F)'(x_0 + h_m) = O(\log N)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but LHS} &= -2^{\frac{(m+1)(1-d)}{2}} \sin(2^{m+1}(x_0 + h_m)) \\ &= -2^{\frac{(m+1)(1-d)}{2}} \\ &\neq O(\log N), \end{aligned}$$

leading to a contradiction. This proves Thm 3'. \square